

> > > Carol Russell > 06/09/99 09:58 AM

>To: Vern Berry/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Berkley/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, David

- > Broste/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Carol Campbell/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
- Claggett/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Max Dodson/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, James
- > Dunn/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Holly Fliniau/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Sarah
- > Fowler/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Hays Griswold/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen
- > Hamilton/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, James Hanley/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Eric
- > Hyatt/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Dan Jackson/P2/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Tina
- > Laidlaw/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Andy Lensink/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Barry
- > Levene/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Doug Lofstedt/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Peggy
- > Livingston/RC/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Mundrick.Doug@EPA.GOV@EPA, Nat
- > Miullo/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Dave Moon/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Paul
- > Osborne/P2/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Toney Ott/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Melanie
- > Pallman/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Sonya Pennock/OCP/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike
- > Reed/P2/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, William Schroeder/TMS/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Tony
- > Selle/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Sisk/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia
- > Smith/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Billy Schweiger@EPA, Dale
- > Vodehnal/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, David Williams/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Wes
- > Wilson/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Wireman/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

>cc: wsimon@frontier.net

>

>Subject: Animas update

>Synopic sampling for the Animas Watershed will take place June 21-23 and the

>Stakeholders subcommittee meetings will be all day the 24 with the total >stakeholders meeting the evening of the 24. Because of travel constraints many

>EPA staff that wanted to help with the sampling will be unable to assist. >However if you still want to help, I have found a very inexpensive place

ror

>anyone that would like to come. It is a house associated with a bed and >breakfast which will sleep 10 friendly people at a cost of \$20 apiece or \$200

>per night for the house (21-23). Please give me a call at x6310 or e-mail your

>intentions before Friday June 11 if you still would like to go. THANKS!!!

>Following is an update of Activities in the Animas Watershed for this year.

>PROGRESS ON THE TMDL, USE ATTAINABILITY ANALYSIS, and WRAS >The outline and flow chart for these combined activities can be obtained

>request. They were too long to attache to this message.

>WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND THE ALASKA DECISION WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THE >STAKEHOLDERS MTG

>DATA MANAGEMENT

- >Marty McComb (Region 8's STORET Coordinator) and Arne Sjodin (CDPHE STORET
- >Coordinator) met with the Animas River Stakeholders Group on April 15, 1999 and
- >introduced the EPA's modernized STORET database as a way to manage their water
- >quality data. The Stakeholders eventually approved using STORET and they have
- >since begun preparing their existing dataset for migration. They have also
- >begun looking at ways to insure that future data will be efficiently stored in
- >STORET. The CDPHE will support the Stakeholders by migrating the Group's >existing data into the new STORET format while EPA Region 8 will continue to
- >provide training and technical support. The Animas River Stakeholders Group use
- >of STORET represents an exciting example of how multiple agency's can share >water quality data on a local scale using a common database platform.

>JOINT REPOSITORIES

- >The meeting on the joint repository issues in the Animas Watershed between BLM,
- >EPA, the State of Colorado and our lawyers was productive. The first item, in
- >my opinion, needing clarification is that ALL wastes need not go to a common
- >area; the first preference is to reclaim the wastes onsite. However if wastes
- >had to be moved, an environmentally sound common area to reduce maintenance >costs and avoid additional disturbances would be best. The potential locations
- >for a joint repository as defined by the stakeholders were presented in an old
- >memo by Terry Morris. We focused onthree sites: Mayflower 4, the old county
- >gravel pit and an area near Eureka. The attention seemed to focus on what was
- >the best location because the attorneys could not address legalities in >generalities. Dave Bucknam and Rob Robinson were going to draft a one-pager
- >regarding the sites and their technical and legal issues. I was surprized to
- >hear at our meeting in Silverton on May 20th that the DMG had already agreed to
- >send the waste from the Carbon Lakes 319 project to the Mayflower 4 and that
- >Sunnyside had signed the agreement. Also that the designs for the isolated pod
- >on the Mayflower 4 for the Lakawana Tails were complete. If these agreements
- >can move forward expeditiously,cleanup can proceed this summer.
- >Good Samaritan Provision (GSP):

- >Finally people are beginning to push for this provision. The Western Governor's
- >Association (WGA) is still the lead proponent but the National Mining
- >Association (NMA) is becoming more involved. They have recently made a
- >proposal, to the WGA to change a section that would broaden the interpretation
- >of who might be considered a Good Samaritan.
- >If you want more information, please give me a call.

-

>UIC

- >The pH of the mine pool within the Sunnyside Mine has decreased significantly
- >over the past two years from approximately 11 to about 3. The mine pool will
- >probably reach its final level this summer. A letter was sent to the company
- >asking what they plan on doing. To my knowledge, EPA has not recieved an >offical reply.

>

>PROJECTS FOR FY 99

- > The following four FY99 section 319 workplans for the upper Animas area:
- > Mammoth Tunnel Discharge Treatment Todd Hennis, a private landowner,
- >is the project proponet for this project which includes hydrologic controls and
- >a sedimentation basin.
- > Silver Wing Mine Steve Fearn, another private landowner is spnsoring
- >this project which includes a demonstration project for treatent of acid mine
- >discharge by using techniques used in the petroleum industry.
- > Surface Water Infiltration Controls Demonstration The Colorado DMG will
- >be conducting several hydrologic control projects within the Watershed.
- > Cement Creek Mine Waste Control (Continuation Project) Also a DMG >project which focuses on the Prospect Gulch tributary to Cement Ck.
- > Carbon Lakes will be continued this summer.

>

- > Sunnyside Gold Corp. (Echo Bay) will be undertaking new projects this summer
- > on the B list of the consent decree. They will only be treating the
- > discharge from the American Tunnel this summer and not the flow of upper
- > Cement Creek.

>

- > The following BLM and Forest Service projects will be undertaken this summer
- > Mogul-Grand Mogul /Upper Ross Basin) trib to Cement Ck Underground flow
- >and water chemistry, an underground mine survey, monitoring wells, surface water
- >sampling and possible sealing of mine fractures will be undertaken by DMG.
- >field season, DOE performed initial studies using a geoprobe showed a

- >significant portion of Cement Ck inflitrates the mine workings. The mine owner
- >is willing to enter into an AOC for cleanup and for transfer of his data on the
- >Fortune 500 company that actually mined this area. EPA's enforcement policy
- >needs to be clarified so we can move forward on this site. (possibly \$230,000
- >for this site)
- > Lackawnna Mill Tailings near Silverton's town boundary will be >transferred to the Mayflower #4 pile if the consent decree can be signed early
- >this year. If not the monies for this site may be lost (\$150,000)
- > Forest Queen bioreactors were installed last summer but the finishing
- >touches and actual treatment will begin this summer.
- > May Day Mine hydrologic controls trib to Cement Ck were completed last
- >October. A cap will be placed on the pile this summer.
- > Upper Browns Gulch trib to Mineral Ck is a small waste dump site with
- >highly reactive rock to be buried and covered this summer
- > Brookland Mine, also located in Browns Gulch, has portal drainage of pH
- >2.5 3.2 at a rate of about 30 gpm and a large waste pile. A 6 phase approach
- >to this site will be started this year.
- > Bandora, located in south MIneral Ck , drains 35 gpm of high zinc and
- >iron. The first phase of collection and diversion of the AMD will take place
- >this summer.
- > Bonner MIne, located in the Middle Fork of Mineral Ck., has 2 draining
- >adits at a pH of 3.16 flowing a total of 15 gpm. Phase 1 of this project is to
- >pull the waste rock piles cut of the drainage.
- > Belcher Gulch, trib to Cement Ck, consists of three waste piles in the
- >stream channel. Site assessment will take place this summer and the piles will
- >be moved out of the drainage if necessary.
- > Kansas City Group is similar to Belcher Gulch. The highly reactive waste
- >rock piles will be removed from the drainage and reclaimed on site.
- > Minnesota Gulch Group will be characterized this summer. There may be
- >high flow into the underground workings. A geoprobe will be used at this site
- >as well as the Brookland, Banfora, Bonner, Minnesota Gulch, Lark, Joe and Johns,
- >Red and Bonita, Mogul and other sites in Cement Ck and Upper Animas.
- > Lark collapsed adit flow was diverted into a pipe around the waste pile

>last year. More work may need to be done this summer.

- > Two tracer studies will be conducted by Briant Kimball of the USGS
- >summer to identify and characterize flows in Upper Mineral Ck including the >Kohler Longfellow. Two will also be done in upper Cement Ck and
- >Prospect Gulch
- >